

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/617,067	07/16/2000	Daniel T. Papalia	ENIIIII	7220
75	590 08/13/2003			
	rgy Systems Group		EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Department 1700 Belle Meade Court			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
Lawrenceville,	GA 30043		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

81

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/617,067	PAPALIA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Igor Borissov	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>uly 2000</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-22 is/are pending in the	ne application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chasek (US 5,237,507) in view of Edelman et al. (US 6,281,601).

Chasek teaches a system and method for developing real-time economic incentives to encourage efficient use of the resources of a regulated electric utility, comprising:

As per claims 1-2, 10 and 20,

- a plurality of power macnines (column 2, lines 3-9; column 3, lines 51-54);
- a remote means for actuating the power machines comprising a means for monitoring a market price of electricity (column 3, line 29 through column 5, line 58); a means for monitoring a market price of hydrocarbon fuels (column 3, line 29 through column 5, line 58); a means for calculating the difference between the market price of electricity and the market price of hydrocarbon fuels (column 3, line 29 through column 5, line 58).

Chasek does not specifically teach for a means for actuating a power machine.

Edelman et al. teach a system and method for a distributed generation power networking system comprising a turbogenerator which is controlled (turned on and off)

Art Unit: 3629

by a controller (Abstract; column 1, line 56 through column 7, line 64), wherein said controller evaluates local data (column 6, lines 30-40).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chasek to include means for actuating a power machine incuding a controller for evaluating local data because it would enhance the performance and accuracy of the system by evaluating the local conditions, thereby make it more attractive to the customers.

As per claim 4, Chasek teaches said system and method wherein the actuation signal comprises a remote override signal causing the power machine to turn on or turn off (column 4, line 13 through column 5, line 58).

As per claim 5, Chasek teaches said system and method, further comprising a means for reading data from a meter (column 3, lines 51-57).

As per claim 6, Edelman et al. teach said system and method, further comprising a means for reading data related to the operational performance of the power machine (column 1, line 56 through column 7, line 64).

As per claim 7, Chasek teaches said system and method, further comprising a means for reading the local energy rate structure (column 3, line 42 through column 4, line 36).

As per claim 8, Chasek teaches said system and method, further comprising a means to calculate the load demand and to print and prepare a billing statement (column 3, line 58 through column 5, line 23).

Art Unit: 3629

As per claim 11, Chasek teaches said system and method, further comprising a means for aggregating power to sell on a power market (column 4, line 13-22).

As per claim 12, Chasek teaches said system and method, further comprising a means for generating a billing statement (column 4, line 13-22).

As per claim 13, Chasek teaches said system and method wherein the electricity generation factor is selected from the group consisting of market rate structure, peak shaving information, load shedding information and information relating to the ability to sell power to the grid (column 4, line 13-22).

As per claim 14, Chasek teaches said system and method wherein the system operates in an environment selected from the group consisting of a traditional environment, a transitional environment, and a competitive environment (column 3, line 58 through column 5, line 57).

As per claim 15, Chasek teaches said system and method, further comprising a means to calculate the load demand and to print and prepare a billing statement (column 3, line 58 through column 5, line 23).

As per claim 16, Chasek teaches said system and method, further comprising a means for selling power to the grid (column 4, line 13 through column 5, line 57).

As per claims 17 and 18, Edelman et al. teach said system and method wherein the system participates in load shedding and peak shaving (Abstract; column 1, line 56 through column 7, line 64).

As per claim 19, Chasek teaches said system and method wherein the data is selected from the group consisting of electricity prices, hydrocarbon prices, resource

Art Unit: 3629

rate structure, power machine efficiency, power machine operating characteristics, futures prices, environmental data, regulatory rules, load demand, and weather (column 3, line 29 through column 5, line 58).

As per claim 22, Edelman et al. teach said system and method, further comprising monitoring the operational condition of the power machine (column 1, line 56 through column 7, line 64).

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chasek and Edelman et al. in view of Norris et al. (US 5,510,780).

As per claim 21, Chasek and Edelman et al. teach all the limitations of claim 21, except for licensing of power machines.

Norris et al. teach a system and method for controlling a power generation equipment wherein said equipment is leased (Abstract; column 1, lines 6-9).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chasek and Edelman et al. to include licensing of power machines because it would increase the capability of the system thereby make it more attractive to the customers.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that sited prior art do not teach a local control circuit for evaluating local data prior to actuating the power machine, examiner points out that Edelman et al. teach said system and method comprising a

Art Unit: 3629

turbogenerator which is controlled by a controller wherein said controller evaluates local data (See: Abstract; column 6, lines 30-40 and discussion above).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308- 2702.

Art Unit: 3629

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

IB

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER : ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

gund